

## EVENT REPORT FORM

Type of event	Roundtable with non-academic sector
Venue	University of Pristina in Kosovska Mitrovica, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Knjaza Milosa 7
Date	25 June 2021
Organizer	UPKM - Faculty of Technical Sciences
Reporting date	28 June 2021
Report author(s)	Nebojsa Arsic, Jelena Djokic, Aleksandra Petrovic, Julijana Vrzic

### EVENT DESCRIPTION

with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	13
Participants (organisations)	6
<b>Event description:</b>	
<p>As a part of the WP 6 Dissemination and exploitation, A6.4 Roundtables with non-academic sector, UPKM has organized a session with representatives of local companies. The roundtable was organized on 25<sup>th</sup> of June 2021 and lasted from 12 h to 15 h in line with the previously prepared roundtable agenda.</p> <p>The participants of the roundtable were representatives of 6 institutions: Municipality of Kosovska Mitrovica (Department for Urbanism), Public Utility Company Standard, Municipality of Zvecan (Department for Urban planning and Inspection, Department for Environment, water and forestry), Trepca Company for Mining, Metallurgy and Chemical industry and UN Habitat office in Zvecan and Faculty of Technical Sciences Department for Environmental engineering.</p>	

University of Nis



Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management  
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

At the meeting, participants were introduced with the main objectives of the SWARM project and new UPKM study programmes developed under the SWARM project. Jelena Djokic explained to participants the structure and the learning outcomes of the new study programmes and how these skills and competencies could be used in the water sector.

After that, Jelena Djokic introduced the EU Water Policy under the Water Framework Directive and EU best practices in the water sector. The topic of general discussion was implementation of EU Water Framework Directive in the water sector in Serbia.

Participants expressed the interest for new study programmes and concluded that future graduated students will have opportunity to work on water quality programme and in general in sustainable use of water. The final remarks were regarding the possible cooperation with the municipalities in drafting and submitting the project proposals for financing under IPA III programme, considering the water production and waste waters treatment.

The roundtable finished at 15:00.

## Attachments

<b>Agenda (pdf)</b>	UPKM Roundtable - Agenda
<b>Attendance list (pdf)</b>	UPKM Roundtable - Attendance lists
<b>Photos (jpg)</b>	Photos on website
<b>Presentations (pdf)</b>	Presentation of SWARM project, Jelena Djokic, UPKM EU Water Policy – Water Framework Directive Jelena Djokic, UPKM Introducing EU best practices in the water sector Jelena Djokic, UPKM
<b>Other personal remarks</b>	

## Organisation details

Date of event material release	25 June 2021
Date of participants list's finalisation	25 June 2021
Date of agenda finalisation	25 June 2021
Number of participants (according to the attendance list)	13
<b>Comments</b>	

### Problems encountered during the event preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any:

### Strengths and limitations of the event (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants	The participants put practical proposals on the joint projects applications
Suggestions for the improvement	Having these sessions more often
Any further comments	N/A

## Evaluation details

### Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event


#### Description

The general organization of the roundtable was evaluated with very high marks. The event was organized totally in line with the previous defined agenda.

#### Table/Figure

The general organisation of the roundtable

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Logistic preparation and organization of event	0	0	0	7.69	92.31
Content of the agenda	0	0	0	30.76	69.24
Arrangements of the event (venue, equipment, etc.)	0	0	0	7.69	92.31



### Results of evaluation of general working communication


**Description**

The general working communication was evaluated with high marks. The host members were up to the task.

**Table/Figure**

**General working communication %**

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Communication before the event	0	0	0	7.69	92.31
Duration and timetable of the event	0	0	0	23.07	76.93
Quality of materials provided during the event	0	0	0	30.76	69.24
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	15.38	84.62
Communication between the organizer and the other partners	0	0	0	15.38	84.62
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	0	0	0	0	100



### Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

#### Description


The overall success of the roundtable was evaluated with high marks.

#### Table/Figure

Overall success of the event %

Grading	Poor	Very poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the event	0	0	0	23.07	76.93
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	0	0	0	30.76	69.24
Objectives in the agenda regarding the SWARM project are reached	0	0	0	0	100
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and events	0	0	0	30.76	69.24
Assignment of follow-up tasks	0	0	0	0	100

Overall success of the roundtable



Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:

---



---

---

---

---

---

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.